Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

C. JAY SMITH,

Plaintiff,

v.

RALPH DIAZ, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. <u>20-cv-04335-HSG</u>

ORDER RE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND CASE **SCHEDULE**

The parties stipulated, and the Court agreed, that the evidentiary hearing should be vacated and all pending factual issues should be decided by jury trial in light of the Supreme Court's recent opinion in *Perttu v. Richards*, No. 23-1324, 605 U.S. --, 2025 WL 1698783, at *3 (U.S. June 18, 2025). See Dkt. No. 176. The Court accordingly set an in-person case management conference on July 1, 2025, at 2:00 p.m. to discuss how to proceed. The parties should be prepared to discuss their availability for a pretrial conference and trial. Given the age of this case and its current posture, the trial needs to occur by the end of this year.

The Court provides the following dates for discussion. Before the case management conference, the parties must meet and confer to determine which of the following windows will work for them. The Court expects the parties to collectively clear their calendars to enable a trial in at least one of these windows.

//

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

	Pretrial Conference	2-Week Trial ¹
	1 Teti iai Cometence	2-VVCCK IIIdi
Option 1	September 23, 2025, at 3:00 p.m.	October 20, 2025, at 8:30 a.m.
Option 2	September 30, 2025, at 3:00 p.m.	October 27, 2025, at 8:30 a.m.
Option 3	November 4, 2025, at 3:00 p.m.	December 1, 2025, at 8:30 a.m.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 6/25/2025

United States District Judge

¹ This is the maximum trial length: the Court will set stringent time limits that likely will result in a substantially shorter trial than this.